PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 19 APR 2007

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	-				
Applicant's or agent's file reference PC25529A FOR FURTHER AC		ACTION Se	ee Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. International filing date PCT/IB2004/003671 08.11.2004			Priority date <i>(day/month/year)</i> 13.11.2003		
International Patent Classi	fication (IPC) or national classification and	IPC			
INV. C07D213/77					
Applicant		,			
PFIZER PRODUCTS	S INC.				
This report is the i Authority under A	. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT cor	. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
This report is also	accompanied by ANNEXES, compris	sing:			
	applicant and to the International Bu				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
beyon					
		indicate type and number o	of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a		
sequence l	listing and/or tables related thereto, in Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of	electronic form only, as indi	icated in the Supplemental Box		
riciating to	Sequence Listing (see Section 602 to	i the Administrative instruct	ions).		
4. This report contain	ns indications relating to the following	items:			
⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Priority				
	Non-establishment of opinion with re	ard to novelty, inventive ste	p and industrial applicability		
-	Lack of unity of invention	•	,		
⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35 applicability; citations and explanatior	(2) with regard to novelty, in s supporting such statemer	ventive step or industrial at		
☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
☐ Box No. VII	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the internation	nal application			
Date of submission of the o	demand	Date of completion of this re	eport		
2005-01-19		18.04.2007			
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized officer	nes Palon.		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office					
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d		Johnson, Claire	STANGE		
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89 2399	9-8287		
		i			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003671

	Bo	x No. I Basis of the report	t	
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on			
oxtimes the international application in			in the language in which it was filed	
\square a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			onal application into , which is the language r the purposes of:	
		publication of the interna	der Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) itional application (under Rule 12.4(a)) examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
2. With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referre report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>			iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this	
	Des	scription, Pages		
	1-33	3	as originally filed	
	Clai	ims, Numbers		
	1-18	В	as originally filed	
		a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.		The amendments have resu	lited in the cancellation of:	
		☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.		
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs		
		☐ the sequence listing (spe☐ any table(s) related to se	<i>city):</i> quence listing <i>(specify)</i> :	
4.	□ had Sup	This report has been establi I not been made, since they hoplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c))	shed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the b.	
		☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐		
		☐ the sequence listing (spe☐ any table(s) related to se		
	*	If item 4 applies, so	me or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded "	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003671

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
١.	The	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- bvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international application,			
		claims Nos. <u>14,15 (IA)</u>			
	bec	because:			
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. $\underline{14.15}$ relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
		see separate sheet			
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> .			
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.			
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:			
		☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
		☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
		□ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 <i>ter</i> .1(a) or (b) and 13 <i>ter</i> .2.			
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
		See separate sheet for further details			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003671

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

<u>1-18</u>

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

<u>1-18</u>

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

<u>1-13,16-18</u>

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

III. Non-establishment of opinion

Claims 14 and 15 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

The claims refer to isomers of the compounds of formula I. The word "isomer" includes positional isomers. It appears, however, from p. 5-6 of the description that only geometric and stereoisomers are intended to be covered by the claims. The claims have therefore only been searched and examined insofar as isomer means geometric and stereoisomers.

The term prodrug is not considered to define the matter for which protection is sought in a clear manner as required by Article 6 PCT. There are many possible functional groups present in the compound of formula I. The only information in the application as to which functional groups in which positions may be derivatised to give compounds having the attributes of prodrugs (i.e. compounds which are inactive per se, and which are broken down in the body to give active compounds) is given on p. 9, I. 10-20. In order to ascertain whether compounds outside this definition are within the scope of claim 1, the skilled man must perform in vivo tests, which is considered to go beyond the routine experimentation to be reasonably expected of him. The claims have only been searched and examined insofar as prodrug is as defined on p. 9, I. 10-20.

V. Reasoned statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-B1-6 380 223

Novelty

The 2-substituent of the octahydrophenanthrene ring cannot be CONHNHheterocycle in D1 (see definition of R¹⁰ in col. 6-7).

Claims 1-18 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive step

The compounds of D1 are glucocorticoid receptor modulators useful in the treatment

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003671

of obesity, diabetes and inflammation. The technical problem appears to be the provision of further compounds with this activity. In the absence of any documents showing the bioequivalence of the present -CONHNHheterocyclic group with the R¹º group of D1 (e.g. the -NHNHCOheterocyclic group of ex. 406 or the -CONHalkyleneheterocyclic group of claim 1) in structurally similar compounds, it would not be obvious to make this modification to the compounds of D1 in the expectation that the activity would be maintained. Therefore those of the claimed compounds which have the desired activity are inventive. Claims 1-18 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Industrial applicability

Claims 1-13, 16-18 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

No unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States for assessing whether present claims 14 and 15 are industrially applicable. The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. For example, the EPO does not consider claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment to be industrially applicable, but allows claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.